

# DEAP – Part C Early Intervention Annual Performance Report FFY15

## Indicator 1: Timely Provision of Services

*Monitoring Priority: Early Intervention Services In Natural Environments*

**Compliance indicator:** Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)

### FFY 2013 – FFY 2018 Targets

FFY	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Target	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

FFY 2015 100% of infants and toddlers with IFSPs will receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.

### REPORT OF PROGRESS – INDICATOR 1

	Measurable and Rigorous Target FFY 2015	Actual Target Data FFY 2013	Actual Target Data FFY 2014	Actual Target Data FFY 2015
<b>Indicator 1</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

DEAP met the compliance target for FFY 2015. Actual Target Data for FFY 2015 (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016):

### RAW DATA CALCULATION – INDICATOR 1

A	B	C	D	E
Total child records reviewed.	Children with IFSPs receiving early intervention services in a timely manner.	Children with IFSPs not receiving early intervention services in a timely manner due to documented exceptional family circumstances.	Percent of children with IFSPs who received early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner or there was a documented exceptional family circumstance that prevented timely service delivery.	Total children not receiving timely service delivery for reason other than documented exceptional circumstances.
45	45	0	100%	0

- 10/10 files reviewed by the provider agency noted infants and toddlers with IFSPs receive the early intervention services in a timely manner (30 days).
- 35/35 files reviewed by the Quality Improvement Specialist (QIS) noted Support and Service contacts correlate with frequency and intensity of services indicated in the IFSP; support and service summaries reflect activities related to IFSP outcomes and strategies; and that every effort is made to reschedule missed home visits or the IFSP is updated to reflect changes in the frequency/intensity of services.

## Methods Used in Collection of Data:

Data is based on two methods of data collection with each Part C provider agency. The two different data collection methods and schedules cover the delivery of services throughout FFY 2015 including both children with their initial IFSP as well as children with subsequent IFSPs.

**Method 1:** A survey method, following specific State Part C protocol, was used to collect data from the Part C provider agency from children’s files for the Federal Fiscal Year of July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016 and submitted to Montana’s Part C Coordinator. The agency randomly selected a specified number of files based on the number of infants and toddlers served throughout the year. The collection provides the State a proportional representation by geographical region of the whole population served in Montana’s Part C. After the selection of random files, data was reviewed to document compliance to timely provision of services for each file.

### Data from Regional Part C agencies Sampling and Reporting for the APR Information:

- 10/10 files randomly selected using the *Research Randomizer Program* indicated infants and toddlers received Part C early intervention services within 30 days of parent(s) signing IFSP.

The definition and procedures/instructions ensure uniform implementation of data collection protocol. Each of the seven Part C provider agencies reported data to the Part C Coordinator who reviewed data for compliance and compiled the data for the APR. Each of the seven regional Part C provider agencies maintains a record for **Indicator 1** to include the identification of the children/families randomly selected so that the Quality Improvement Specialist may verify the accuracy (reliability and validity) of the data submitted. This will be completed during the following monitoring cycle.

**Method 2:** The second method was annual on-site and the State’s data management system monitoring by Montana’s Part C Quality Improvement Specialist (QIS) using Montana’s Comprehensive Monitoring Tool for Part C Early Intervention for FFY 2015. The QIS individually performed a review using Montana’s Early Intervention Module (Montana’s electronic system for data collection from IFSPs and Child Outcome Summaries) and scheduled on-site monitoring.

### Data from Montana’s Comprehensive Monitoring Tool:

- 30/30 files reviewed by the Quality Improvement Specialist noted Part C early intervention services were provided in a timely manner.

## Indicator 2: Services in Natural Environments

*Monitoring Priority: Early Intervention Services In Natural Environments*

**Results indicator: Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings. (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)**

### FFY 2013 – FFY 2018 Targets

FFY	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Target ≥	97%	97%	98%	98%	99%	99%

**FFY 2015**      **98% of infants and toddlers with IFSPs will primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.**

DEAP met the target for FFY 2015. Actual Target Data for FFY 2015 (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016).

**REPORT OF PROGRESS – INDICATOR 2**

	Measurable and Rigorous Target FFY 2015	Actual Target Data FFY 2013	Actual Target Data FFY 2014	Actual Target Data FFY 2015
<b>Indicator 2</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**RAW DATA CALCULATION – INDICATOR 2:**

A	B	C	D	E
Infants and toddlers with IFSPs receiving services in the home	Infants and toddlers with IFSPs receiving services in community-based settings	Infants and toddlers with IFSPs receiving services in other settings.	Total infants and toddlers with IFSPs reported.	Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs receiving services in the home or community-based settings.
60	0	0	60	100%

10/10 files reviewed by the provider agency noted services are provided in the home.

50/50 records as reported from the annual Child Count date, November 13, 2015, noted services are provided in the home.

**Indicator 3: Early Childhood Outcomes**

*Monitoring Priority: Early Intervention Services In Natural Environments*

**Results indicator: Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:**

- A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);
- B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and
- C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)

**FFY 2013 – FFY 2018 Targets**

FFY	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Target A1 ≥	59%	72%	62%	65%	65%	68%
Target A2 ≥	53%	63%	53%	56%	56%	59%
Target B1 ≥	61%	72%	61%	64%	64%	67%
Target B2 ≥	44%	56%	44%	47%	47%	50%
Target C1 ≥	67%	73%	67%	70%	70%	73%
Target C2 ≥	52%	64%	52%	55%	55%	58%

**FFY 2015 Outcome A: Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)**

1. The State’s identified Measurable Result (SiMR) Target: 62% of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program.
2. Target: 53% of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program.

**Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)**

1. Target: 61% of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program.
2. Target: 44% of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program.

**Outcome C: Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs:**

1. Target: 67% of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program.
2. Target: 52% of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they turned 3 years of age or exited the program.

DEAP met the targets for Indicator 3a (both summary statements); 3b (Summary Statement 1); and 3c (both summary statements). **DEAP did not meet the targets for Indicator 3b (Summary Statement 2).** **DEAP Actual Target Data for FFY 2015 (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016):**

**REPORT OF PROGRESS – INDICATOR 3, OUTCOME A  
Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)**

	Measurable and Rigorous Target FFY 2015	Actual Target Data FFY 2013	Actual Target Data FFY 2014	Actual Target Data FFY 2015
Summary Statement 1	62%	100%	45%	83%
Summary Statement 2	53%	83%	35%	64%

**REPORT OF PROGRESS – INDICATOR 3, OUTCOME B**

Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)

	Measurable and Rigorous Target FFY 2015	Actual Target Data FFY 2013	Actual Target Data FFY 2014	Actual Target Data FFY 2015
Summary Statement 1	61%	50%	75%	83%
Summary Statement 2	44%	50%	25%	40%

**REPORT OF PROGRESS – INDICATOR 3, OUTCOME C**

Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs

	Measurable and Rigorous Target FFY 2015	Actual Target Data FFY 2013	Actual Target Data FFY 2014	Actual Target Data FFY 2015
Summary Statement 1	67%	100%	60%	71%
Summary Statement 2	52%	50%	45%	60%

**RAW DATA CALCULATION – INDICATOR 3**

	Indicator 3 Outcome A Positive social-emotional skills		Indicator 3 Outcome B Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills		Indicator 3 Outcome C Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs	
	Number of Children	%	Number of Children	%	Number of Children	%
a. Percent of infants and toddlers who did not improve functioning.	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Percent of infants and toddlers who improved functioning but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	4	16%	4	16%	6	24%
c. Percent of infants and toddlers who improved functioning to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	5	20%	11	44%	4	16%
d. Percent of infants and toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	14	56%	9	36%	11	44%
e. Percent of infants and toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	2	8%	1	4%	4	16%
<b>Total</b>	<b>N=25</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>N=25</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>N=25</b>	<b>100%</b>

### Ongoing State-wide Remediation Required:

Concentrated Child Outcomes Summary ratings professional development, with the support of OSEP Technical Assistance Centers, is ongoing at each early intervention provider agency with expected completion July 1, 2017. DEAP identified one staff member to participate in Montana's Train the Trainer for the Child Outcomes Summary Process. Sandy Peaslee, in turn, provided systemic training to the agency's Family Support Specialists and Quality Improvement Specialist within the timelines provided by the State. **Exemplary practice:** the agency's Part C Director performs quarterly reviews of Child Outcomes Summary data from the data management system to ensure both entry and exit COS measurements are performed.

After collecting additional data for FFY 2017, the State will be able to make informed decisions about potentially adjusting targets in each of the three outcomes.

### New Remediation required:

1. **State-wide Remediation Required:** Targeted support from the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to improve performance outcomes for infants and toddlers in the three global outcomes.
2. **The State of Montana will receive targeted assistance to improve the percentage of children exiting Part C that are included in outcome data (≥ 25%).**
  - DEAP exit data recorded and reported to OSEP for FFY 2015: 88. The number of DEAP child records with an exit Child Outcome Summary: 25. Percentage of children exiting DEAP who are included in Montana outcome data: **28%**. The State's percentage: **51%**.

## Indicator 4: Family Involvement

*Monitoring Priority: Early Intervention Services In Natural Environments*

**Results indicator:** Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:

- A. Know their rights;
- B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and
- C. Help their children develop and learn.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(A) and 1442)

### FFY 2013 – FFY 2018 Targets

FFY	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Target A ≥	93%	93%	94%	94%	95%	95%
Target B ≥	93%	93%	94%	94%	95%	95%
Target C ≥	88%	93%	94%	94%	95%	95%

**FFY 2015**

- A. **94%** will report early intervention services have helped the family know their rights
- B. **94%** will report early intervention services have helped the family effectively communicate their child’s needs
- C. **94%** will report early intervention services has helped the family help their children develop and learn

**DEAP met the FFY 2015 targets. Actual Target Data for FFY 2015 (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016):**

**REPORT OF PROGRESS – INDICATOR 4**

	Measurable and Rigorous Target FFY 2015	Actual Target Data FFY 2013	Actual Target Data FFY 2014	Actual Target Data FFY 2015
Indicator 4A	94%	100%	97%	100%
Indicator 4B	94%	100%	97%	100%
Indicator 4C	94%	100%	97%	100%

**27/35 respondents to DEAP’s Family Survey = 77%**

**RAW DATA CALCULATION – INDICATOR 4A**

A	B	C
Total surveys received	Total families reporting that early intervention services have helped their family know their rights.	Percent of families reporting that early intervention services have helped their family know their rights.
27	27	100%

**RAW DATA CALCULATION – INDICATOR 4B**

A	B	C
Total surveys received	Total families reporting that early intervention services have helped their family communicate their children’s needs.	Percent of families reporting that early intervention services have helped their family communicate their children’s needs.
27	27	100%

**RAW DATA CALCULATION – INDICATOR 4C**

A	B	C
Total surveys received	Total families reporting that early intervention services have helped their family help their children develop and learn.	Percent of families reporting that early intervention services have helped their family help their children develop and learn.
27	27	100%

**Data from Montana’s Comprehensive Monitoring Tool:**

As part of Montana’s general supervision monitoring, the Quality Improvement Specialist (QIS) reviewed 17 randomly selected files of children and families receiving Part C services from your agency and interviewed that sample of families to determine their satisfaction with Part C services. During the past year, 17/17 families interviewed indicated they were 100% satisfied with the services they have received; 17/17 reported they know

their rights; 17/17 reported they can communicate their child’s needs; and 17/17 reported that early intervention helped them help their child learn and develop.

DEAP’s Family Survey response rate continues to be excellent. DEAP indicates using the Family Survey results in the following ways to enhance program planning:

- Comments and ratings summaries specific to each FSS are returned to the FSS to use for improvement of services, if needed; and
- The summary of all programs is shared with staff, administration, and the Board.

## Indicator 5: Child Find (Birth to One)

*Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Child Find*

**Results indicator: Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data. (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)**

### FFY 2013 – FFY 2018 Targets

FFY	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Target ≤	1.39%	1.43%	1.43%	1.46%	1.46%	1.46%

### FFY 2015

**1.43% of all infants and toddlers, birth to 1, with IFSPs compared to national data, 1.15%**

**DEAP met the FFY 2015 target. Actual Target Data for FFY 2015 (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016):**

#### REPORT OF PROGRESS – INDICATOR 5

	Measurable and Rigorous Target FFY 2015	Actual Target Data FFY 2013	Actual Target Data FFY 2014	Actual Target Data FFY 2015
<b>Indicator 5</b>	<b>1.43%</b>	<b>3.78%</b>	<b>2.44%</b>	<b>1.59%</b>

### DEAP’s Raw Data:

9 (infants and toddlers, birth to age one) with IFSPs divided by 566\* (\*2015 KIDS Count data population estimate of infants and toddlers, birth to age one in Carter, Custer, Dawson, Fallon, Garfield, Powder River, Prairie, Rosebud, Treasure, and Wibaux) times 100 = 1.59%.

### New Remediation Required:

**The State of Montana will receive targeted assistance to improve Montana’s efforts to serve infants and toddlers, birth to one year of age. OSEP will provide targeted assistance to improve Montana’s Section 618 Child Count.** For each provider of Part C of IDEA, referral sources and their impact on the number of infants and toddlers, birth to one year of age, served will be analyzed including the following referral sources:

- Child Protective Services
- Hospitals, clinics, and medical personnel focusing upon low birthweight babies

## Indicator 6: Child Find (Birth to Three)

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Child Find

**Results indicator:** Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data. (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

### FFY 2013 – FFY 2018 Targets

FFY	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Target ≤	2.14%	2.14%	2.20%	2.20%	2.20%	2.25%

### FFY 2015

2.20% of all infants and toddlers, birth to 3 with IFSPs, compared to national data, 2.95%

DEAP met the FFY 2015 target. Actual Target Data for FFY 2015 (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016):

#### REPORT OF PROGRESS – INDICATOR 6

	Measurable and Rigorous Target FFY 2015	Actual Target Data FFY 2013	Actual Target Data FFY 2014	Actual Target Data FFY 2015
Indicator 6	2.20%	4.07%	3.18%	2.94%

#### DEAP's Raw Data:

50 infants and toddlers, birth to three years of age with IFSPs divided by 1699\* (\*2015 KIDS Count data population estimate for infants and toddlers, birth to three years of age, in Carter, Custer, Dawson, Fallon, Garfield, Powder River, Prairie, Rosebud, Treasure, and Wibaux) times 100 = 2.94%.

#### New Remediation Required:

The State of Montana will receive targeted assistance to improve Montana's efforts to serve infants and toddlers, birth to three years of age. For each provider of Part C of IDEA, referral sources and their impact on the number of infants and toddlers served will be analyzed. OSEP will provide targeted assistance to improve Montana's Section 618 Child Count.

#### DEAP Report of Child Find and Referral

Primary Referral Sources	Documented Referrals FFY 2013	Documented Referrals FFY 2014	Documented Referrals FFY 2015	Documented Child Find Events FFY 2015
Hospital facilities including NICU	9	5	17	0
Medical personnel	3	12	5	0
Parents or family member	3	6	4	0
Child care/early learning programs	0	2	3	Early Head Start/Head Start: 3 events
Local education agencies	0	0	7	21 events
Public health facilities	1	2	0	17 events
Child Protective Services	7	17	15	0
Specialized clinics or health care providers	0	0	0	0

Primary Referral Sources	Documented Referrals FFY 2013	Documented Referrals FFY 2014	Documented Referrals FFY 2015	Documented Child Find Events FFY 2015
Homeless shelters	0	0	0	0
Domestic violence agencies	0	0	0	0
Other (Evaluation and Diagnosis Program, Family Support Specialists)	3	1	2	0

The number of events held in conjunction with the primary referral source indicate great outreach effort on behalf of DEAP with minimal results in terms of actual referrals to Part C.

## Indicator 7: 45-day timeline

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Child Find

**Compliance indicator:** Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an initial evaluation and initial assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C’s 45-day timeline. (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

### FFY 2013 – FFY 2018 Targets

FFY	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Target	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

FFY 2015 100% of all infants and toddlers eligible for Part C services will have completed evaluation(s) and assessment(s) and an initial IFSP meeting conducted within Part C’s 45-day timeline.

**DEAP did not meet the compliance target. Actual Target Data for FFY 2015 (July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016):**

### REPORT OF PROGRESS – INDICATOR 7

	Measurable and Rigorous Target FFY 2015	Actual Target Data FFY 2013	Actual Target Data FFY 2014	Actual Target Data FFY 2015
Indicator 7	100%	100%	91%	90%

**RAW DATA CALCULATION – INDICATOR 7**

A	B	C	D	E
Total child records reviewed	Children with timely evaluation and assessment and initial IFSP.	Children with evaluation and assessment and initial IFSP completed more than 45 days from the child’s referral, with documented exceptional family circumstances that caused the delay.	Percent of children with timely evaluation and assessment and initial IFSP or there is a documented exceptional family circumstance that caused the delay in the completion of the evaluation and assessment and initial IFSP.	Children whose evaluation and assessment and initial IFSP were held more than 45 days from the date of the referral for reasons other than documented exceptional family circumstances.
30	26	1	90%	3

**DEAP Monitoring:**

- ✓ 9/10 files reviewed with evaluation, assessment(s), and initial IFSP completed within 45 days.
- ✓ 1/10 delayed due to exceptional family circumstances.

**QIS Monitoring:**

- ✓ 17/20 files reviewed with evaluation, assessment(s), and initial IFSP completed within 45 days.
- ✓ 2/20 completed and submitted to the data management system after the 45<sup>th</sup> day.
- ✓ 1/20 delayed due to unidentified reasons.

**FFY 2015 data per the data management system:**

- 90 initial IFSPs completed.
- 75/90 completed within 45 day timeline (83%).
- 15/90 overdue (17%)
  - 10/90 delayed due to exceptional family circumstances (11%).
  - 5/90 completed and submitted to the data management system after the 45<sup>th</sup> day (6%).

**Raw Data from Montana’s Comprehensive Monitoring Tool:**

17/20 files randomly selected using the *Research Randomizer Program* indicated infants and toddlers received timely evaluation, assessment and initial IFSP within 45 days.

- 2/20 completed and submitted to the data management system after the 45<sup>th</sup> day.
- 1/20 delayed due to unidentified reasons.

**Raw Data from Regional Part C agencies Sampling and Reporting for the APR information:**

A proportional sample from each of the seven regional local provider agencies was required to complete a random sample of files using the *Research Randomizer Program* from all Part C eligible children with an IFSP and received services in FFY 2015. The files were reviewed for each child/family selected to determine if services were provided according to the definition of timely services, and recorded: (1) if the Part C IFSP was provided in a timely manner and if not, (2) the number of days over the child’s referral, (3) the reason the IFSP was not completed prior to the 45 day timeline.

9/10 files reviewed indicated infants and toddlers received timely evaluation, assessment(s), and initial IFSP within 45 days. 1/10 delayed due to exceptional family circumstances.

**Remediation:**

DEAP will monitor IFSPs to identify and eliminate barriers to meeting the 45 day-timeline.

## Indicator 8: Early Childhood Transition

Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / Child Find

**Compliance indicator:** The percentage of toddlers with disabilities exiting Part C with timely transition planning for whom the Lead Agency has:

- A. Developed an IFSP with transition steps and services at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday;
- B. Notified (consistent with any opt-out policy adopted by the State) the SEA and the LEA where the toddler resides at least 90 days prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services; and
- C. Conducted the transition conference held with the approval of the family at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, and prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)

### FFY 2013 – FFY 2018 Targets

FFY	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Target	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

**FFY 2015** 100% of all children exiting Part C will receive timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including.

- A. 100% IFSPs with transition steps and services developed at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, prior to the toddler’s third birthday;
- B. 100% Notification (consistent with the opt-out policy adopted by the State) to LEA/SEA where the toddler resides at least 90 days prior to the toddler’s third birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services; and
- C. 100% Transition conference occurred with the approval of the family at least 90 days, and at the discretion of all parties, not more than nine months, and prior to the toddler’s birthday for toddlers potentially eligible for Part B preschool services.

DEAP met all compliance targets for FFY 2015. Actual Target Data for FFY 2015 (July 1, 2015- June 30, 2016):

### REPORT OF PROGRESS – INDICATOR 8

	Measurable and Rigorous Target FFY 2015	Actual Target Data FFY 2013	Actual Target Data FFY 2014	Actual Target Data FFY 2015
Indicator 8A	100%	100%	100%	100%
Indicator 8B	100%	100%	100%	100%
Indicator 8C	100%	100%	100%	100%

**RAW DATA CALCULATION – INDICATOR 8A**

A	B	C	D
Total child records reviewed.	Children with transition steps and services to support the child’s transition developed at least 90 days and not more than nine months prior to the child’s third birthday.	Children with transition plans that do not include steps and services to support the child’s transition.	Percent of children with transition plans including steps and service developed at least 90 days and not more than nine months prior to the child’s third birthday.
10	10	0	100%

**RAW DATA CALCULATION – INDICATOR 8B**

A	B	C	D	E
Total child records reviewed.	Children whose families opted out of notification.	Children with notification to the LEA/SEA at least 90 days prior to the child’s third birthday.	Children for whom the LEA/SEA was not notified (excluding children whose families opted out of notification).	Percent of children with notification to the LEA/SEA at least 90 days prior to the child’s third birthday.
10	0	10	0	100%

For FFY 2015, two families opted out of notification per the data management system.

**RAW DATA CALCULATION – INDICATOR 8C**

A	B	C	D	E
Total child records reviewed.	Children for whom the family did not provide approval to conduct the transition conference due to exceptional family circumstances.	Total child records reviewed less the children for whom the family did not provide approval to conduct the transition and the transition conference was not held due to exceptional family circumstances.	Children with timely transition conference, at least 90 days and not more than nine months prior to the child’s third birthday	Percent of children with timely transition conference, at least 90 days and not more than nine months prior to the child’s third birthday, if child potentially eligible for Part B.
10	0	10	10	100%

FFY 2015 data per the data management system:

- 32 conferences completed
- 9/32 conferences were overdue: 3/9 overdue as child entered Part C services late, 1/9 noted “an occupational evaluation was not needed,” 1/9 noted the Early Childhood Director did not want to hold conference until September, 1/9 noted “school and speech therapist needed more time for testing,” 1/9 noted scheduling conflicts with family, 1/9 noted an exceptional family circumstance, and 1/9 noted communication difficulties with family.

## Indicator 10: Mediation

*Monitoring Priority: Effective General Supervision Part C / General Supervision*

**Results indicator: Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. (20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(B) and 1442)**

DEAP did not have any complaints resolved through mediation in FFY 2015.