



State Health Assessment Design Team

November 9, 2022 @ 1 PM via Zoom

In the chat box: Introductions

Name, role/organization

What food reminds you of home, past or present?

Agenda

- Ground rules review
 - 2-way communication check in
- Recap SHA Design Team progress
- Engagement period data review
 - 4 themes for the table of contents
- Breakout groups
 - Report out
- Plans for another community engagement round in December
- Next steps

Ground rules and expectation setting

1. Extend flexibility and grace to all participants.
2. Respect, listen to, and support each other.
3. Don't be afraid to speak up and challenge ideas in respectful ways, ask a question, or make a comment.
4. Remember that we are all learning from each other.
5. Equal sharing/reporting by everyone—be mindful of the time and space we are sharing to take turns speaking.
 - a. Listen, listen, listen.
6. Assume positive intent, but also acknowledge the impact.
7. Acknowledge everyone's unique perspectives.

We share a responsibility to:


- Advance the health of all Montanans, regardless of life circumstances.
- Engage in conversation about where Montanans live, learn, work, play, worship, and age and how those places impact health.
- Ensure that Montanans have equal opportunity to make choices that lead to good health for them and their families.
- Provide information and services that all people can find, understand, and use to inform health-related decisions and actions for themselves and others.
- Liaise with the communities, organizations, and groups that we are representing to create dialogue.

**2-way communication poll:
How did it go last month?**




SHA Design Team Recap, 1 of 2

First, identify the health concerns in the SHA.



Then, identify the main priorities in the SHIP.



SHA Design Team Recap, 2 of 2

- **August:** Reviewed the difference between the SHA and the SHIP and the PHAB accreditation requirements for the SHA, established ground rules, and reviewed some initial engagement period findings.
 - Started discussing the 3 design concepts (benefits and concerns).
- **September:** Reviewed the orientation materials from August, affirmed our ground rules, continued the discussion on the 3 design concepts, and started a conversation about the demographic section.
- **October:** Reviewed ground rules and 2-way communication, talked about how to support community/program-specific evaluation and data, started discussion about table of contents, 4 overarching evaluation questions, and criteria for prioritizing future analyses.

Community engagement data, 1 of 6

Engagement sessions (2022)	Participants	In person, virtual, or combined	Polling data collected via Zoom
Friday, May 6: Pilot session	Montana Asthma Advisory Group (MAAG) members	Combined	No
Tuesday, June 7: Local and Tribal Health Department session	Local and Tribal Health Department staff	Virtual	Yes
Tuesday, June 14: General engagement session 1	Community members and partners	Virtual	Yes
Wednesday, June 15: General engagement session 2	Community members and partners	Virtual	Yes
Wednesday, June 15: Montana Disability Health Program session	Montana Disability Health program partners	Virtual	No
Thursday, June 16: General engagement session 3	Community members and partners	Virtual	Yes
Monday, June 20: State employee session	Montana state government employees	Virtual	Yes
Friday, July 15: Million Hearts Session	Million Hearts workgroup members	Combined	No

- An estimated 200 people attended across the 8 sessions
- 90 people responded to an online survey
- Continued Tribal engagement monthly
- AHM Network
 - PHSITF, DEP, RoCHI, CoPs, working groups




Community engagement data, 2 of 6

Social determinants of most importance:



Community engagement data, 3 of 6

Subgroupings of Data Most Frequently Cited as Useful to Participants (# participants)



Community engagement data, 4 of 6

- What public health data do you wish you had?
 - Local level data
 - Specific programmatic requests
 - “The stuff that tells me how to motivate people to do hard things collaboratively.”
 - Population-level requests: Montanans with disabilities, housing, access to health care and insurance, mental/behavioral health, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation and gender.

Community engagement data, 5 of 6

- What are we going to see in the next 3-5 years?
 - Housing
 - Access to care
 - Mental/behavioral health needs, particularly people in crisis
 - Economic issues
 - Environmental health, particularly climate change and wildfires
 - Access to food
 - Aging population
 - Transportation
 - COVID-19 impacts
 - Physical activity
 - Workforce, particularly caregivers and public health

Community engagement data, 6 of 6

- How can the SHA best equip you?
 - Data presented in a compelling way
 - Financial cost of poor upstream prevention strategies
 - Use the SHA as an educational tool
 - Create an educational tool that people can use with their clients and communities
 - Demonstrate that health is a systems issue
 - Regular updates and reminders over the 5-year cycle that these data are available
 - Community and special population data
 - Make it easy to understand
 - Acknowledge the economic influences on health
 - Publish something that can help guide community level assessments

How have we used the engagement session data so far?

- The 3 design concepts (**How can the SHA best equip you?**)
 1. Create a calendar of future analyses to guide future updates to the SHA
 2. Design profiles for populations in focus
 3. Incorporating other recent assessments to provide a broader picture
- The 4 evaluation questions (**SDoH of most importance, what public health data do you wish you had, emerging in the next 3-5 years?**)
 1. How has the health of Montanans changed since 2017?
 2. What are the themes impacting health, according to Montanans?
 3. To what extent do the data support continued focus on the 2019 SHIP priorities?
 4. What additional issues emerge that should be considered in the next SHIP?
- The table of contents structure (**most helpful subgroupings of data, evaluation questions, and design concepts**)

Zoom sessions
Qualtrics data
SHA Design
Team meetings
Fort Peck visit
Little Shell visit
AIHL July and
October
meetings
AHM Network

Continuing the table of contents discussion

Evaluation question 1
Design Concept 2
Design Concept 3
Engagement sessions
• Ex: Subgrouping of data

Evaluation question 2
Evaluation question 3
Design Concept 2
Design Concept 3
Engagement sessions
• Ex: PH data you wish you had

- Introduction
 - Limitations
- Key demographics
- Fundamental health statistics
- “Populations in Focus”
- **SHIP Priority Area 1: Behavioral Health**
- **SHIP Priority Area 2: Chronic Disease Prevention and Self-Management**
- **SHIP Priority Area 3: Motor Vehicle Crashes**
- **SHIP Priority Area 4: Healthy Mothers, Babies, and Youth**
- Emerging Issues
- Calendar for future issues

Evaluation question 4
Design concept 1
Engagement sessions
• Ex: Emerging health issues





Priority Areas + Themes

Example:

- Behavioral Health, specifically the indicators in the SHIP
 - Investigate how behavioral health relates to each of 4 themes:
 - Adverse childhood experiences and resiliency
 - Environmental health
 - Climate change, water, wildfires, etc.
 - Access to health care
 - Health insurance, providers, cost, etc.
 - Housing




Each chapter would have the same 4 themes



What it would look like:

- Unlikely to find strong data “linkages” for most topics
 - For example, ACEs and HOPE modules in BRFSS
- Rely on engagement period data and literature reviews
- Answer the question of how it could influence the topic, then share related population-level data



Breakout rooms

- Considerations for each of the 4 proposed themes:
 - What question would it be important for you to answer for each theme and priority area?
- Is there a theme not included in the 4 proposed today that you want to elevate?

December engagement period

- 4 Quarterly Community of Practice calls coming up
 - Send out registration information widely
 - Ask participants to discuss the 4 proposed themes
 - Review the current SHIP objectives in each priority area
 - Reflect on COVID-19 SHIP evaluation data for each priority area
 - How COVID-19 response impacted each priority area– still the same, or have there been additional changes?
- Will respond to requests for community-specific sessions as there is capacity to do so

Next steps

Asks from everyone:

- Report back to the groups with which you are liaising
- Keep an eye on your emails
- Next meeting on Dec 14 from 1 to 2:30 PM
 - Any requests for live captioning or other accommodations?

Anna Bradley

abradley@mt.gov

(406) 444-5968

HSAHealthierMontana@mt.gov

